





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,596	05/03/2001	Mats Moren	VCC0063-US	6742
28694	7590 02/06/2004		EXAMI	NER
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP			MILLER, CARL STUART	
1299 PENNSY BOX 34	YLVANIA AVE., NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			3747	10
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Aution Communication	09/681,596	MOREN, MATS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl S. Miller	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory priod to really its specified above, the maximum statutory statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S	entember 2003				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 20-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-29 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the certification of the specification application has been received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	(PTO 440) D			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) vatent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/681,596

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 22-29 remain withdrawn from consideration and the election requirement in hereby made final. Furthermore, the applicant's response to the restriction requirement is incomplete in that he should have selected from one of 2A-2F as well. The claims however clearly break down into distinct groups. For example, Claims 20 and 21 read on 2A, but none of the other claims will read on this embodiment. The check valves can't be in <u>both</u> the head and a gasket. For this reason the examiner has examined only Claims 20 and 21 because they read on 2A and are drawn to the <u>first</u> – claimed embodiment.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diehl in view EP ('159).

In particular, the examiner still feels that it would have been obvious to locate the check valves in the cylinder head since the check valves of Diehl are adjacent to the collection conduit and EP ('159) teaches placing this conduit in the cylinder head. EP ('159) even includes a short pipe in the head from the collection conduit and Diehl

Application/Control Number: 09/681,596

Art Unit: 3747

places his check valves in such a pipe coming from the conduit, thus making this an

Page 3

obvious location.

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed September 25, 2003 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive.

In particular, the EP reference has now been properly identified.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at

telephone number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Whis. Primary Examinar